CARDIFF COUNCIL CYNGOR CAERDYDD



DEMOCRATIC SERVICES COMMITTEE:

22 JANUARY 2013

REPORT OF THE HEAD OF DEMOCRATIC SERVICES (INTERIM) AGENDA ITEM: 4

ICT PROVISION FOR MEMBERS: BUSINESS CASE

Reason for this Report

Background

- A previous meeting of the Democratic Services Committee (6 December 2012) had considered feedback from the Task and Finish group on Member's ICT which had suggested that an improved ICT provision could be made to members with a cost saving on existing provision over 5 years.
- 2. The 6 December 2012 tasked officers with producing a costed business case for the provision. This business case is given as Appendix A

lssues

- 3. Members of the Task and Finish group were shown a variety of tablet devices, and shortlisted to a Windows Tablet device and the Apple iPad.
- 4. Members of the Task and Finish group agreed that further cost savings should be examined by removal of Smartphone provision and replacement with the final tablet device for email and a low-cost mobile phone device.
- 5. A selection of members piloted the solution at the December council and feedback from those members has been taken into account.
- 6. The project does not include costs for improvement of wireless facilities in council chambers, leisure centres, libraries and community centres as these are included in other projects (specifically, Urban Broadband Fund and ICT Refresh).
- 7. The project also does not include costs for the planned infrastructure to store documents as this again is included in other projects (specifically, Document Management Project).

4.CTC.CS.018 Issue 1 Date: Jan 13	Process Owner: Committee & Member Services Manager	Authorised: Deputy Committee & Member Services Manager	Page 1 of 2
-----------------------------------	--	--	-------------

Reasons for Recommendations

- 8. Following the member trials, members agreed that the iPad with Good Messaging and Dynamics software was their preferred option. The business case has been constructed around this hardware/software choice.
- 9. The alternative delivery had similar pricing, and no significant additional savings would have resulted had this been the choice.
- 10. The expected saving over five years is £108,799 (net present value £97,469) with a best-case scenario of a saving of £116,334 (net present value £103,457).

Legal Implications

The Committee's terms of reference include keeping under review the provision of resources made available to the Head of Democratic Services in order to ensure that it is adequate for the responsibilities of his post. The Council has a duty to ensure value for money when procuring goods and services.

Financial Implications

The draft business case identifies potential cost savings of £108,799 over a five year period. This is based on a number of assumptions, the actual figure being dependent on these. Any initial costs will be funded from within existing resources.

Recommendations

That the Committee:

- 1. note the report;
- 2. agree the preferred option outlined in the Business Case (Appendix 1)

Andrew Kerr Head of Democratic Services (Interim) 15 January 2013

The following Appendix is attached: Draft Project Business Case

4.CTC.CS.018	Issue 1	Date: Jan 13	Process Owner: Committee &	Authorised: Deputy Committee &	Page 2 of 2
			Member Services Manager	Member Services Manager	



Project Business Case DRAFT



Project Title	Paperless Council		Project ID	ТВА
Programme Name	N/A			
Project Manager	Sheila Denner			
Project Executive	Steve Durbin			
BC Lifecycle		OBC	FBC	\bowtie
Confidentiality				

Confidentiality	
Strictly Private & Confidential Internal Use Only	Public Document
and the second sec	

4.PQA.215	Issue 1	27 July 2011	Process Owner: TMO	Authorised: TMO OM	Page 1 of 16
-----------	---------	--------------	--------------------	--------------------	--------------

Section 1 Executive Summary

1.1 A brief Synopsis Highlighting Key Points – "The Story"

ICT provision differs for members in accordance with their roles on the Council. Those Members with Cabinet responsibilities, Chairs of Committees and party group Whips receive a different provision to other members. This arrangement was previously agreed by the Group Whips. The current ICT provision does not enable Members to access and annotate documents electronically from different locations and so hard copies of papers are still required. If Members could view, annotate and store documents electronically this would remove the need for paper copies and deliver a financial saving..

The proposal outlined in this business case is to provide members with suitable ICT to carry out their roles in a paperless manner, thus saving money and improving efficiency. Members will be able to access documents and systems live from anywhere with a wireless or mobile signal, and cache documents locally for offline access if needed. Additionally, members will be able to access the key council and committee papers at any time from any internet-connected device, as well as the provided ICT.

Members have expressed a wish to retain low-volume printing at home for providing constituents with letters, printed copies of advice etc. The cost model allows for 2,000 pages per member per year printed in this way funded centrally.

It is unlikely that all members will feel able to use the new technology, even with the in-depth training planned. Additionally, a number of members require reasonable adjustments by virtue of differing abilities, and some of these cannot easily be translated into a full electronic delivery. The cost model therefore contains the assumption that up to 20% of members will not wish to adopt the technology, and has a sensitivity analysis accordingly.

The backoffice solutions and infrastructure to deliver are being put in place as part of the ICT Technology Framework and so are not included in the costs of this project.

Cashable savings of approximately £20,000 per year will be achieved, based on a 5 year device life. Additionally, approximately £13,000 per year will be freed up in terms of staff time. This will not be cashable and hence is excluded from the finance summary, but will be used to further improve service to members.

Non-financial benefits include:

- Quicker council processes due to faster communications, the ability to deliver documents to members the instant they are completed improves on the current paper deliveries which are measured in hours to days.
- Better participation of back-bench members due to improved access to information
- Better interaction with citizens due to availability of service at all locations.

4.	.PQA214	Issue 1	27 July 2011	Process Owner: TMO	Authorised: TMO OM	Page 2 of 16
----	---------	---------	--------------	--------------------	--------------------	--------------

Project Business Case

1.2 Financial Summary (worst case)

	Yr 1	Yr2	Yr3	Yr4	Yr5	Total
	£	£	£	£	£	£
Total Costs	111,710	47,218	47,218	47,218	47,218	111,710
Cashable Benefits	81,876	81,876	81,876	81,876	81,876	81,876
Net Cost (-)/Benefit (+)	-29,834	+34,658	+34,658	+34,658	+34,658	+108,799
Cumulative Net	-29,834	+4,824	+39,482	+74,141	+108,799	
					'ear	2
	Net Prese	nt Value	+97,469			

The worst case above assumes 20% of members do not take up the provision.

1.3 Summary of Recommendations

The project will provide:

- Replacement kit for all members. This will consist of:
 - Apple iPad 16Gb with 4G/Wifi or equivalent
 - Case for above
 - o Generic Bluetooth keyboard and mouse for above to aid fixed working
 - Nokia 100 entry level telephone
 - o Samsung CLP-365W wireless colour laser printer with direct print ability
- Removal of all existing equipment, including broadband provision (telephone numbers may be kept)
- Training for all members on the above.

Other infrastructure projects will provide:

- SharePoint portal for member meeting access
- Wireless provision upgrade to council chamber

An overall cost saving will be made by the adoption of this project.

4.PQA214	Issue 1	27 July 2011	Process Owner: TMO	Authorised: TMO OM	Page 3 of 16

Section 2 Project Justification

2.1 Change Description

Overview of Current Service

Current provision is paper based, with some ICT provision for members holding particular offices. Proposal gives all members same level of ICT and removes/reduces the paper-based provision.

Project Scope

Replacement of all member's ICT Provision of training on above

Out of Scope

Provision of SharePoint collaboration site infrastructure – in Technology Framework Upgrade of council chamber wireless – in Technology Framework

2.2 Benchmarking & Comparison

Benchmarks and comparisons are difficult because other councils do things in very different ways. However, the proposed method is being actively considered and/or undertaken by a number of councils – for example Edinburgh (May 2012), Hyndburn (examining), Northampton (in trial). Edinburgh is estimating much higher savings than us as £200,000 p.a., however our savings figures are based on our current very low cost printing provision and very conservative estimates.

Project Business Case

2.3 Benefits

Financial Benefits - Cashable

Benefits Financial I	Benefits - Cashable					
Value £	Description	When will it be realised?	How will it be measured?	Ongoing benefit?	Any Dependencies	Benefit Owner
TBD						
			$ \land \land $			
			1 martine			

4.PQA.215	Issue 1	27 July 2011	Process Owner: TMO	Authorised: TMO OM	Page 5 of 16
-----------	---------	--------------	--------------------	--------------------	--------------

Non-Financial Benefits – incl. Service/Customer Improvement Benefits

Description	When will it be realised?	How will it be measured?	Ongoing benefit?	Any Dependencies	Benefit Owner
твр					
		>>			
		<i>P</i>			

4.PQA214	Issue 1 27 July 2011	7 July 2011 Process Owner: TMO	Authorised: TMO OM	Page 6 of 16
----------	----------------------	--------------------------------	--------------------	--------------

Project Business Case

Section 3 Options Appraisal

3.1 Options Appraisal

 \bigvee

Option	Α	Do Nothing					
Existing co	sts ca	rry on as-is					
Project Co	sts		£0				
Other Cost	S		£492,0	061			
TOTAL CO	OSTS		£492,	061			
Cashable E	Benefit	S	£0				
NET COST	Г(-)/ВЕ	NEFIT (+)	£-492	,061			
PAYBACK	YEA	र	N/A		NET PRESENT VALUE	£-459,8	87
Non-Finan	cial Be	enefits					
• None							
Specific Op	otion F	lisk		Mitigat	ion		Risk Rating
			1				
Technolog	y Requ	uirements	·				
None							
Assumptio	ns						
None							
Decision							
Not viable	when	savings are av	ailable.	•			
			A Bar				

4.PQA.215 Issue 1 27 July 2011 Process Owner: TMO	Authorised: TMO OM	Page 7 of 16
---	--------------------	--------------

Option B Full provision with 100% member take up and no home printing							
All membe	rs mus	st use system,	and n	o home	printing provided		
Project Costs £28			£285	i,726			
Other Cost	S		£0				
TOTAL CO	STS		£285	5,726			
Cashable E	Benefit	S	£492	2,060			
NET COST	(-)/BE	NEFIT (+)	£+20	6,334		<u>њ.</u>	
PAYBACK	YEAF	۲	2		NET PRESENT VALUE	£+188	,553
Non-Finan	cial Be	enefits					
• Freeing	g up of	f staff time					
membe	ers the		re con		ommunications, the ability to mproves on the current pap		
• Better	partici	pation of back-	bench	n membe	ers due to improved access	to inform	ation
• Better	interac	ction with citize	ens du	e to avai	lability of service at all locat	ions.	
Specific Op	otion R	Risk		Mitigati	ion		Risk Rating
Possibility that members will not all take up			t all 🏾	all Option C refers M			М
Need for home printing not accounted for				Option D refers M			М
Both of above			Option	E refers		М	
Technolog	y Requ	uirements					
Replaceme	ent equ	uipment					
Assumption	ns						
	rinting	requirement ers removed					
Decision							
Decision							
Risk is una	ccepta	able that mem	oers w	ill adopt	100% and can accept no he	ome print	ing.
	1						

4.PQA214 Issue 1 27 July 2011 Process Owner: TMO Authorised: TMO OM Page 8 of 1

Option	С	Full provisio	on with 80% n	nember take up and no ho	me printi	ing
Up to 20%	of me	mbers opt-out,	and no home	printing provided.		
Project Cos	Project Costs					
Other Cost	s		£0			
TOTAL CO	OSTS		£228,581			
Cashable E	Benefit	S	£409,380			
NET COST	(-)/BE	NEFIT (+)	£+180,799		4	
PAYBACK	YEAF	ર	2	NET PRESENT VALUE	£+165,	,546
Non-Finan	cial Be	enefits				
• Freeing	g up of	f staff time				
membe	ers the		re completed i	ommunications, the ability to mproves on the current pap		
• Better	partici	pation of back-	bench membe	ers due to improved access t	to informa	ation
• Better	interac	tion with citize	ens due to ava	ilability of service at all locat	ions.	
Specific Op	otion R	lisk	Mitigation			Risk Rating
Need for he accounted		rinting not	Option	E refers	<u>.</u>	М
Technology	y Requ	uirements				
Replaceme	ent equ	uipment				
Assumption	ns					
	rinting	requirement ers removed				
Decision						
Members h	ave e	xpressed a pre	eference for ho	ome printing and this must be	e taken ir	nto account

4.PQA214	Issue 1	27 July 2011	Process Owner: TMO	Authorised: TMO OM	Page 9 of 16
----------	---------	--------------	--------------------	--------------------	--------------

Option	D	Full provisio	on with 100%	member take up and home	e printing
All membe	rs ado	pt and home p	rinting is provi	ded	
Project Cos	sts		£375,726		
Other Cost	s		£0		
TOTAL CO	STS		£375,726		
Cashable E	Benefit	S	£492,060		
NET COST	(-)/BE	NEFIT (+)	£+116,334		
PAYBACK	YEAF	۲	3	NET PRESENT VALUE	£+103,457
Non-Finan	cial Be	enefits			
• Freeing	g up of	f staff time			
membe	ers the			ommunications, the ability to mproves on the current pape	
• Better	partici	pation of back-	bench membe	ers due to improved access t	o information
• Better	interac	ction with citize	ns due to avai	lability of service at all locati	ons.
Specific Op	otion R	Risk	Mitigat	Risk Ratir	
Possibility take up	that al	l members will	not Option	E refers	М
Technology	y Requ	uirements			
Replaceme	ent equ	uipment			
Assumption	ns				
100% take All Blackbe		ers removed			
Decision		vancopouroapid/			
100% take	-up is	unlikely.			
			#		

4.PQA214	Issue 1	27 July 2011	Process Owner: TMO	Authorised: TMO OM	Page 10 of 16
----------	---------	--------------	--------------------	--------------------	---------------

Option	Е	Full provisio	on with 80% m	ember take up and home p	orinting
Up to 20%	of me	mbers opt-out,	home printing	provided.	
Project Cos	sts		£300,581		
Other Cost	S		£0		
TOTAL CC	STS		£300,581		
Cashable E	Benefit	S	£409,380		
NET COST	-(-)/BE	NEFIT (+)	£+108,799	A	
PAYBACK	YEAF	र	2	NET PRESENT VALUE	£+97,469
Non-Finance	cial Be	nefits			
• Freeing	g up of	staff time			h.
membe	ers the			ommunications, the ability to mproves on the current pape	
• Better	partici	pation of back-	bench membe	rs due to improved access to	o information
• Better i	interac	tion with citize	ns due to avai	ability of service at all location	ons.
Specific Op	otion R	lisk	Mitigati	on	Risk Rating
Technology	y Requ	uirements			
Replaceme	ent equ	uipment		X	
Assumption	าร				
80% take-u All Blackbe	ip erries r	emoved			
Decision		vonononofi00, 600000	annan na hAnnan ann an hAnn	non'	
Most acceptions offer	otable er savi	option. Balanc ngs.	e between D a	nd E will be decided by men	nber take-up, but both

4.PQA214	Issue 1	27 July 2011	Process Owner: TMO	Authorised: TMO OM	Page 11 of 16
----------	---------	--------------	--------------------	--------------------	---------------

3.2 Preferred Option Summary

Preferred Option	D or E	Full provision with home printing at 80-100% takeup
Freiened Option	DOIE	Full provision with nome printing at 80-100 % takeup

Provide members equipment as follows:

- Replacement kit for all members. This will consist of:
 - Apple iPad 16Gb with 4G/Wifi or equivalent
 - o Case for above
 - o Generic Bluetooth keyboard and mouse for above to aid fixed working
 - o Nokia 100 entry level telephone
 - o Samsung CLP-365W wireless colour laser printer with direct print ability
- Removal of all existing equipment, including broadband provision (telephone numbers may be kept)
- Training for all members on the above

The % of members taking up will result in the overall benefits falling somewhere between these two potential options.

Indic	ative Implementation Plan		
No	Expected Milestone		Date
1		4	· ·
2			
3			

Rationale for Preferred Option

Options D and E are identical except in the level of takeup. All members will be offered the service, but will be required to accept going paperless if they accept the equipment. Discussion is needed around how this will be enforced.

3.3 Preferred Option Sensitivity & Scenario Analysis

Best Case Scenario	NPV	Payback Period
100% take-up	£103,457	3 years
(note: the 100% takeup has a slightly longer payback due to the front-loading of the cost)		

Likely Case Scenario	NPV	Payback Period
90% takeup	£100,463	2 years

Worst Case Scenario	NPV	Payback Period
80% takeup	£97,469	2 years

Section 4 Cashflow, Payback & Funding

4.1 Cash Flow

	Total	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 4	Yr 5
	£	£	£	£	£	£
Capital Costs	59,054	59,054				
Revenue Costs	279,100	66,620	53,120	53,120	53,120	53,120
Lifecycle Costs						
Related Change Costs						
TOTAL COSTS	338,154	125,674	53,120	53,120	53,120	53,120
Cash Releasing Benefits	450,720	90,144	90,144	90,144	90,144	90,144
Capital Funding	59,054	59,054				
Revenue Funding	278,500	66,020	53,120	53,120	53,120	53,120
TOTAL FINANCIAL RESOURCES	788,274	215,218	143,264	143,264	143,264	143,264
RESOURCES						
NET CASH FLOW	450,120	89,544	90,144	90,144	90,144	90,144
CUMULATIVE CA	SH FLOW	89,544	179,688	269,832	359,976	450,121
FUNDING REQU	JIREMENT					
				,		

4.2 Projected Human Resource Requirements

Specific resources will be required to implement the roll out of the project and to work directly with Members on the changes. It is anticipated that these will be provided from existing resources in ICT and Member Services

Required to Implement Change			
Resource Description	FTEs	Timescale	Approx. Grade
ТВД			

Required to Maintain Change & Realise Benefits					
Resource Description	FTEs	Timescale	Approx. Grade		

4.FQA214 ISSUET 27 July 2011 Flocess Owner. TWO Authonised. Two Own		4.PQA214 Issue 1	27 July 2011	Process Owner: TMO	Authorised: TMO OM	Page 13 of 16
---	--	------------------	--------------	--------------------	--------------------	---------------

4.3 Benefit Delivery – Budget Adjustment

Year	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 4	Yr 5
Base Budget Deduction	89,544	600	0	0	0
Cumulative Deduction	89,544	90,144	90,144	90,144	90,144



4.PQA214	Issue 1	27 July 2011	Process Owner: TMO	Authorised: TMO OM	Page 14 of 16
----------	---------	--------------	--------------------	--------------------	---------------

Section 5 Risks, Dependencies & Assessments

5.1 Risks

Risk Log Ref	Description	Likelihood & Consequence	Mitigating Controls	Risk Rating
TBD				

5.2 Dependencies

Dependency Description	Relationship	Ongoing Project or Programme?
SharePoint Project	Needed for this delivery	Yes

5.3 Assessments

Have the following Assessments been completed?				
Equalities Impact Assessment	N			
Sustainability Appraisal Matrix	N			

	4.PQA214	Issue 1	27 July 2011	Process Owner: TMO	Authorised: TMO OM	Page 15 of 16	
--	----------	---------	--------------	--------------------	--------------------	---------------	--

Section 6 Approval

Document History					
Date	Version	Author	Amendments		
4/1/2012	V0.1	Steve Durbin			
15/1/2013	V0.2	Nicki Poole	Amendments to sections 1.1 and 4.2		

b.

Project/Programme Manager	Date
Comments	
Project Executive	Date

Project Executive	Date
Comments	

Portfolio Management Board	Date				
Recommendation	Request Amendment		Request FBC		
Recommendation	Proceed to Project Delivery		Reject & Cancel		
Comments					

4.PQA214 Issu	sue 1 27 July 2011	Process Owner: TMO	Authorised: TMO OM	Page 16 of 16
---------------	--------------------	--------------------	--------------------	---------------